PAPER – 2 : CORPORATE & OTHER LAW

*Question No. **1** is compulsory.*

*Attempt any **three** questions from the remaining **four** questions.*

Question 1

(a) Mr. Raja along with his family members is running successfully a trading business. He is capable of developing his ideas and participating in the market place. To achieve this, Mr. Raja formed a single person economic entity in the form of One Person Company with his brother Mr. King as its nominee. On 4th May 2020, Mr. King withdrew his consent as Nominee of the One Person Company. Can he do so under the provisions of the Companies Act, 2013?

Examine whether the following individuals are eligible for being nominated as Nominee of the One Person Company as on 5th May 2020 under the above said Act.

(i) Mr. Shyam, son of Mr. Raja who is 15 years old as on 5th May 2020.

(ii) Ms. Devaki an Indian Citizen, sister of Mr. Raja stays in Dubai and India. She stayed in India during the period from 2nd January 2019 to 16th August 2019. Thereafter she left for Dubai and stayed there.

(iii) Mr. Ashok, an Indian Citizen residing in India who is presently a member of a 'One Person Company'. ***(6 Marks)***

(b) The Board of Directors of Moon Light Limited, a listed company appointed Mr. Tel, Chartered Accountant as its first auditor within 30 days of the date of registration of the Company to hold office from the date of incorporation to conclusion of the first Annual General Meeting (AGM). At the first AGM, Mr. Tel was re-appointed to hold office from the conclusion of its first AGM till the conclusion of 6th AGM. In the light of the provisions of the Companies Act, 2013, examine the validity of appointment/ reappointment in the following cases:

(i) Appointment of Mr. Tel by the Board of Directors.

(ii) Re-appointment of Mr. Tel at the first AGM in the above situation.

(iii) In case Mr. Bell, Chartered Accountant, was appointed as auditor at the first AGM to hold office from the conclusion of its first AGM till the conclusion of 5th AGM. ie., 4 years tenure. ***(6 Marks)***

(c) X has made an agency agreement with Y to authorize him to purchase goods on the behalf of X for the year 2020 only. The agency agreement was signed by both and it contains all the terms and conditions for the agent. It has a condition that Y is allowed to purchase goods maximum upto the value of ₹ 10 lakhs only. In the month of April 2020, Y has purchased a single item of ₹ 12 lakhs from Z as an agent of X. The market value of

the item purchased was ₹ 14 lakhs but a discount of ₹ 2 lakhs was given by Z. The agent Y has purchased this item due to heavy discount offered and the financially benefit to X.

After delivery of the item Z has demanded the payment from X as Y is the agent of X. But X denied to make the payment stating that Y has exceeded his authority as an agent therefore he is not liable for this purchase. Z has filed a suit against X for payment.

Decide whether Z will succeed in his suit against X for recovery of payment as per provisions of The Indian Contract Act, 1872. ***(3 Marks)***

(d) State with reasons whether each of the following instruments is an Inland Instrument or a Foreign Instrument as per The Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881:

(i) Ram draws a Bill of Exchange in Delhi upon Shyam a resident of Jaipur and accepted to be payable in Thailand after 90 days of acceptance.

(ii) Ramesh draws a Bill of Exchange in Mumbai upon Suresh a resident of Australia and accepted to be payable in Chennai after 30 days of sight.

(iii) Ajay draws a Bill of Exchange in California upon Vijay a resident of Jodhpur and accepted to be payable in Kanpur after 6 months of acceptance.

(iv) Mukesh draws a Bill of Exchange in Lucknow upon Dinesh a resident of China and accepted to be payable in China after 45 days of acceptance. ***(4 Marks)***

Answer

(a) As per section 3 of the Companies Act, 2013, the memorandum of One Person Company (OPC) shall indicate the name of the other person (nominee), who shall, in the event of the subscriber's death or his incapacity to contract, become the member of the company.

The other person (nominee) whose name is given in the memorandum shall give his prior written consent in prescribed form and the same shall be filed with Registrar of companies at the time of incorporation along with its Memorandum of Association and Articles of Association.

Such other person (nominee) may withdraw his consent in such manner as may be prescribed.

Therefore, in terms of the above law, Mr. King, the nominee, whose name was given in the memorandum, can withdraw his consent as a nominee of the OPC by giving a notice in writing to the sole member and to the One Person Company.

Following are the answers to the second part of the question as regards the eligibility for being nominated as nominee:

(i) As per the Rule 3 of the Companies (Incorporation) Rules, 2014, no minor shall become member or nominee of the OPC. Therefore, Mr. Shyam, being a minor is not eligible for being nominated as Nominee of the OPC.

(ii) As per the Rule 3 of the Companies (Incorporation) Rules, 2014, only a natural

person who is an Indian citizen and resident in India, shall be a nominee or the sole member of a One Person Company. The term "Resident in India" means a person who has stayed in India for a period of not less than 182 days during the immediately preceding financial year. Here Ms. Devaki though an Indian Citizen but not resident in India as she stayed for a period of less than 182 days during the immediately preceding financial year in India. So, she is not eligible for being nominated as nominee of the OPC.

(iii) As per the Rule 3 of the Companies (Incorporation) Rules, 2014, a person shall not be a member of more than one OPC at any point of time and the said person shall not be a nominee of more than one OPC. Mr. Ashok, an Indian Citizen residing in India who is a member of an OPC (Not a nominee in any OPC), can be nominated as nominee.

(b) As per section 139(6) of the Companies Act, 2013, the first auditor of a company, other than a Government company, shall be appointed by the Board of Directors within thirty days from the date of registration of the company and such auditor shall hold office till the conclusion of the first annual general meeting.

Whereas Section 139(1) of the Companies Act, 2013 states that every company shall, at the first annual general meeting (AGM), appoint an individual or a firm as an auditor of the company who shall hold office from the conclusion of 1st AGM till the conclusion of its 6th AGM and thereafter till the conclusion of every sixth AGM.

As per section 139(2), no listed company or a company belonging to such class or classes of companies as may be prescribed, shall appoint or re-appoint an individual as auditor for more than one term of five consecutive years.

As per the given provisions following are the answers:

(i) Appointment of Mr. Tel by the Board of Directors is valid as per the provisions of section 139(6).

(ii) Appointment of Mr. Tel at the first Annual General Meeting is valid due to the fact that the appointment of the first auditor made by the Board of Directors is a separate appointment and the period of such appointment is not to be considered, while Mr. Tel is appointed in the first Annual General Meeting, which is for the period from the conclusion of the first Annual General Meeting to the conclusion of the sixth Annual General Meeting.

(iii) As per law, auditor appointed shall hold office from the conclusion of 1st AGM till the conclusion of its 6th AGM i.e., for 5 years. Accordingly, here appointment of Mr. Bell, which is for 4 years, is not in compliance with the said legal provision, so his appointment is not valid.

(c) An agent does all acts on behalf of the principal but incurs no personal liability. The liability remains that of the principal unless there is a contract to the contrary. An agent also cannot personally enforce contracts entered into by him on behalf of the principal. In the light of section 226 of the Indian Contract Act, 1872, Principal is considered to be liable for the acts of agents which are within the scope of his authority. Further section 228 of the Indian Contract Act, 1872 states that where an agent does more than he is authorised to do, and what he does beyond the scope of his authority cannot be separated from what is within it, the principal is not bound to recognise the transaction.

In the given case, the agency agreement was signed between X and Y, authorizing Y to purchase goods maximum upto the value of ₹ 10 lakh. But Y purchased a single item of ₹ 12 lakh from Z as an agent of X at a discounted rate to financially benefit to X. On demand of payment by Z, X denied saying that Y has exceeded his authority therefore he is not liable for such purchase. Z filed a suit against X for payment.

As said above, liability remains that of the principal unless there is a contract to the contrary. The agency agreement clearly specifies the scope of authority of Y for the purchase of goods, however he exceeded his authority as an agent. Therefore, in the light of section 228 as stated above, since the transaction is not separable, X is not bound to recognize the transaction entered between Z and Y, and therefore may repudiate the whole transaction. Hence, Z will not succeed in his suit against X for recovery of payment.

(d) "Inland instrument" and "Foreign instrument" [Sections 11 & 12 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881]

A promissory note, bill of exchange or cheque drawn or made in India and made payable in, or drawn upon any person resident in India shall be deemed to be an inland instrument.

Any such instrument not so drawn, made or made payable shall be deemed to be foreign instrument.

Following are the answers as to the nature of the Instruments:

(i) In first case, Bill is drawn in Delhi by Ram on a person (Shyam), a resident of Jaipur (though accepted to be payable in Thailand after 90 days) is an Inland instrument.

(ii) In second case, Ramesh draws a bill in Mumbai on Suresh resident of Australia and accepted to be payable in Chennai after 30 days of sight, is an Inland instrument.

(iii) In third case, Ajay draws a bill in California (which is situated outside India) and accepted to be payable in India (Kanpur), drawn upon Vijay, a person resident in India (Jodhpur), therefore the Instrument is a Foreign instrument.

(iv) In fourth case, the said instrument is a Foreign instrument as the bill is drawn in India by Mukesh upon Dinesh, the person resident outside India (China) and also payable outside India (China) after 45 days of acceptance.

Question 2

(a) *The Authorized share capital of SSP Limited is ₹ 5 crore divided into 50 Lakhs equity shares of ₹ 10 each. The Company issued 30 Lakhs equity shares for subscription which was fully subscribed. The Company called so far ₹ 8 per share and it was paid up. Later on the Company proposed to reduce the Nominal Value of equity share from ₹ 10 each to ₹ 8 each and to carry out the following proposals:*

(i) *Reduction in Authorized Capital from ₹ 5 crore divided into 50 Lakhs equity shares of ₹ 10 each to ₹ 4 crore divided into 50 Lakhs equity shares of ₹ 8 each.*

(ii) *Conversion of 30 Lakhs partly paid up equity shares of ₹ 8 each to fully paid up equity shares of ₹ 8 each there by relieving the shareholders from making further payment of ₹ 2 per share.*

State the procedures to be followed by the Company to carry out the above proposals under the provisions of the Companies Act, 2013. ***(5 Marks)***

(b) *PQ Limited is a public company having its registered office in Mumbai. It has 3680 members. The company sent notice to all its members for its Annual general Meeting to be held on 2nd September 2019 (Monday) at 11 :00 AM at its registered office. On the day of meeting there were only 12 members personally present upto 11:30 AM. The Chairman adjourned the meeting to same day in next week at the same time and place.*

On the day of adjourned meeting only 10 members were personally present. The Chairman initiated the meeting after 11:30 AM and passed the resolutions after discussion as per the agenda of the meeting given in the notice. Comment whether the AGM conducted after adjournment is valid or not as per the provisions of section 103 of Companies Act 2013 by explaining the relevant provisions in this regard.

What would be your answer in the above case, if PQ Limited is a Private company?

(2 + 2 = 4 Marks)

(c) *S Ltd acquired 10% paid up share capital of H Ltd on 15th March 2017. H Ltd acquired 55% paid up share capital of S Ltd on 10th March 2018. H Ltd. on 25th September, 2020 decided to issue bonus shares in the ratio of 1:1 to the existing shareholders. Accordingly, bonus shares were allotted to S Ltd. Examine under the provisions of the Companies Act, 2013 and decide*

(i) *the validity of holding of shares by S Ltd. in H Ltd.*

(ii) *allotment of Bonus shares by H Ltd. to S Ltd.* ***(4 Marks)***

(d) (i) *Mr. CB was invited to guarantee an employee Mr. BD who was previously dismissed for dishonesty by the same employer. This fact was not told to Mr. CB. Later on, the employee embezzled funds. Whether CB is liable for the financial loss as surety under the provisions of the Indian Contract Act, 1872?*

(ii) Mr. X agreed to give a loan to Mr. Y on the security of four properties. Mr. A gave guarantee against the loan. Actually Mr. X gave a loan of smaller amount on the security of three properties. Whether Mr. A is liable as surety in case Mr. Y failed to repay the loan? ***(2 + 2 = 4 Marks)***

Answer

(a) (i) Procedure for reduction of share capital-

In order to carry out proposals by SSP Limited to reduce the nominal value of the equity share, the company has to comply with the procedure given under section 66 of the Companies Act, 2013 which deals with the Reduction of share capital.

Procedure

(1) Reduction of share capital by special resolution: Subject to confirmation by the Tribunal on an application by the company, a company limited by shares or limited by guarantee and having a share capital may, by a special resolution, reduce the share capital in any manner and in particular, may—

(a) extinguish or reduce the liability on any of its shares in respect of the share capital not paid-up; or

(b) either with or without extinguishing or reducing liability on any of its shares,—

(i) cancel any paid-up share capital which is lost or is unrepresented by available assets; or

(ii) pay off any paid-up share capital which is in excess of the wants of the company, alter its memorandum by reducing the amount of its share capital and of its shares accordingly.

(2) Issue of Notice from the Tribunal: The Tribunal shall give notice of every application made to it to the Central Government, Registrar and the creditors of the company and shall take into consideration the representations, if any, made to it by them within a period of three months from the date of receipt of the notice.

(3) Order of tribunal: The Tribunal may, if it is satisfied that the debt or claim of every creditor of the company has been discharged or determined or has been secured or his consent is obtained, make an order confirming the reduction of share capital on such terms and conditions as it deems fit.

(4) Publishing of order of confirmation of tribunal: The order of confirmation of the reduction of share capital by the Tribunal shall be published by the company in such manner as the Tribunal may direct.

(5) Delivery of certified copy of order to the registrar: The company shall deliver a certified copy of the order of the Tribunal and of a minute approved

by the Tribunal to the Registrar within thirty days of the receipt of the copy of the order, who shall register the same and issue a certificate to that effect.

(ii) Alteration of Share Capital:

SSP Limited proposes to alter its share capital. The Present authorized share capital ₹ 5 Crore will be altered to ₹ 4 Crore. According to Section 61 of the Companies Act, 2013, a limited company having a share capital may alter its capital part of the memorandum.

A limited company having a share capital may, if so authorized by its articles, alter its memorandum in its general meeting to -

1. Cancel shares which, at the date of the passing of the resolution in that behalf, have not been taken or agreed to be taken by any person, and diminish the amount of its share capital by the amount of the shares so cancelled. The cancellation of shares shall not be deemed to be reduction of share capital.

2. A company shall within 30 days of the shares having been consolidated, converted, sub-divided, redeemed, or cancelled or the stock having been reconverted, shall give a notice to the Registrar in the prescribed form along with an altered memorandum [Section 64 of the Companies Act, 2013].

The Company has to follow the above procedures to alter its authorized share capital.

(b) According to section 103 of the Companies Act, 2013, unless the articles of the company provide for a larger number, in case of a public company, fifteen members personally present may fulfil the requirement of quorum, if the number of members as on the date of meeting is more than one thousand but up to five thousand.

If the specified quorum is not present within half-an-hour from the time appointed for holding a meeting of the company, the meeting shall stand adjourned to the same day in the next week at the same time and place, or to such other date and such other time and place as the Board may determine.

If at the adjourned meeting also, a quorum is not present within half-an-hour from the time appointed for holding meeting, the members present shall be the quorum.

In the instant case, there were only 12 members personally present on the day of meeting of PQ Limited upto 11:30 AM. This was not in compliance with the required quorum as per the law. In the adjourned meeting also, the required quorum was not present but in the adjourned meeting, the members present shall be considered as quorum in line with the provisions of section 103.

Hence, the AGM conducted by PQ Limited after adjournment is valid.

As per the provisions of section 103(1)(b), in case of a private company, two members personally present, shall be quorum for the meeting of a company. Therefore, in case,

PQ Limited is a private company, then only two members personally present shall be the quorum for AGM and there was no need for adjournment.

(c) As per Section 19 of the Companies Act, 2013, no company shall, hold any shares in its holding company and no holding company shall allot or transfer its shares to any of its subsidiary companies and any such allotment or transfer of shares of a company to its subsidiary company shall be void.

However, this shall not apply where the subsidiary company is a shareholder even before it became a subsidiary company of the holding company.

In the given case, H Ltd. has acquired 55% paid up share capital of S Ltd. on 10th March 2018. Whereas, S Ltd. has been holding 10% paid up share capital of H Ltd. since 15th March, 2017. The said instance as asked in the question falls under the exception stated above.

Therefore -

(i) Holding of shares by S Ltd. in H Ltd. is valid in view of the proviso (c) to sub-section (1) of section 19 of the Act, which states that the restrictions of provisions of section 19(1) will not be applicable where the subsidiary company is a shareholder even before it became a subsidiary company of the holding company.

(ii) Allotment of bonus shares by H Ltd. to S Ltd. is also valid in view of the above proviso.

(d) **(i)** As per section 143 of the Indian Contract Act, 1872, any guarantee which the creditor has obtained by means of keeping silence as to material circumstances, is invalid. In the given instance, Mr. CB was invited to give guarantee of an employee Mr. BD to the same employer who previously dismissed Mr. BD for dishonesty. This fact was not told to Mr. CB. Here, keeping silence as to previous dismissal of Mr. BD for dishonesty is a material fact and if Mr. BD later embezzled the funds of the employer, Mr. CB will not be held liable for the financial loss as surety since such a contract of guarantee entered is invalid in terms of the above provisions.

(ii) As per the provisions of section 133 of the Indian Contract Act, 1872, any variance, made without the surety's consent, in the terms of the contract between the principal [debtor] and the creditor, discharges the surety as to transactions subsequent to the variance.

In the given instance, the actual transaction was not in terms of the guarantee given by Mr. A. The loan amount as well as the securities were reduced without the knowledge of the surety.

So, accordingly, Mr. A is not liable as a surety in case Y failed to repay the loan.

Question 3

(a) Explain the following in brief with reference to Companies Act 2013:

(i) National Financial Reporting Authority (NFRA)

(ii) Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) Committee ***(3 + 3 = 6 Marks)***

(b) (i) Mrs. K went to a Jewellary shop to purchase diamond ornaments. The owners of jewellary shop are notorious and indulging in smuggling activities. Mrs. K purchased diamond ornaments honestly without making proper enquiries. Was the purchase made in Good faith as per the provisions of the General Clauses Act, 1897 so as to convey good title?

(ii) There are two ways to reach city A from city B. The distance between the two cities by roadways is 100 kms and by water ways 80 kms. How is the distance measured for the purpose of any Central Act under the provisions of the General Clauses Act, 1897? ***(2 + 2 = 4 Marks)***

(c) Sun Light Limited was incorporated on 22nd January 2019 with the objects of providing software services. The Company adopted its first financial year as from 22nd January 2019 to 31st March 2020. The financial statement for the said period, after providing for depreciation in accordance with Schedule II of the Companies Act, 2013 revealed net profit. The Board of Directors declared 20% interim dividend at their meeting held on 7th July 2020, before holding its first Annual General Meeting. In the light of the provisions of the Companies Act, 2013 and Rules made thereunder:

(i) Whether the Company has complied due diligence in declaring interim dividend?

(ii) Whether the Company can declare dividend in case it was registered under Section 8 of the Companies Act, 2013?

(iii) What are the penal consequences in case of failure to pay the interim dividend?

(4 Marks)

(d) Vikram accepts a Bill of Exchange for ₹ 50,000 which is an accommodation bill drawn by A on 1st January 2020 to be payable at Mumbai on 1st July 2020. A transfers the bill to B on 1st February 2020 without any consideration. B further transfers it to C on 1st March 2020 for value. Then C transfers it again to D on 1st April 2020 without consideration. D holds the bill till maturity and on the due date of payment he presented the bill for payment but the bill is dishonoured by Vikram.

Discuss the rights of A, B, C and D to recover the amount of this bill as per the provisions of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881. ***(3 Marks)***

Answer

(a) (i) National Financial Reporting Authority (NFRA)

According to section 132 of the Companies Act, 2013, the Central Government may, by notification, constitute the National Financial Reporting Authority (NFRA) to provide for matters relating to accounting and auditing standards under this Act.

Notwithstanding anything contained in any other law for the time being in force, the NFRA shall—

(a) make recommendations to the Central Government on the formulation and laying down of accounting and auditing policies and standards for adoption by companies or class of companies or their auditors, as the case may be;

(b) monitor and enforce the compliance with accounting standards and auditing standards in such manner as may be prescribed;

(c) oversee the quality of service of the professions associated with ensuring compliance with such standards, and suggest measures required for improvement in quality of service and such other related matters as may be prescribed; and

(d) perform such other functions relating to clauses (a), (b) and (c) as may be prescribed.

(ii) Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR)Committee:

According to section 135(1) of the Companies Act, 2013, every company having

(1) net worth of rupees 500 crore or more, or

(2) turnover of rupees 1000 crore or more or

(3) a net profit of rupees 5 crore or more

during the immediately preceding financial year shall constitute a Corporate Social Responsibility Committee of the Board consisting of three or more directors, out of which at least one director shall be an independent director.

Provided that where a company is not required to appoint an independent director under sub-section (4) of section 149, it shall have in its Corporate Social Responsibility Committee two or more directors.

Duties of CSR Committee [Section 135(3)]:

The CSR Committee shall-

(a) formulate and recommend to the Board, a CSR Policy which shall indicate the activities to be undertaken by the company in areas or subject, specified in Schedule VII;

(b) recommend the amount of expenditure to be incurred on the activities referred to in clause (a); and

(c) monitor the CSR Policy of the company from time to time.

(b) (i) In the instant case, the purchase of diamond ornaments by Mrs. K from a Jewellary Shop, the owners of which are notorious and indulged in smuggling activities, made in good faith, will not convey good title.

As per section 3 (22) of the General Clauses Act, 1897, a thing shall be deemed to be done in "good faith" where it is in fact done honestly, whether it is done negligently or not.

The definition of good faith as is generally understood in the civil law and which may be taken as a practical guide in understanding the expression in the Indian Contract Act, 1872 is that nothing is said to be done in good faith which is done without due care and attention as is expected with a man of ordinary prudence. An honest purchase made carelessly without making proper enquiries cannot be said to have been made in good faith so as to convey good title.

(ii) "Measurement of Distances" [Section 11 of the General Clauses Act, 1897]: In the measurement of any distance, for the purposes of any Central Act or Regulation made after the commencement of this Act, that distance shall, unless a different intention appears, be measured in a straight line on a horizontal plane.

(c) (i) According to section 123(3) of the Companies Act, 2013, the Board of Directors of a company may declare interim dividend during any financial year or at any time during the period from closure of financial year till holding of the annual general meeting out of the surplus in the profit and loss account or out of profits of the financial year for which such interim dividend is sought to be declared or out of profits generated in the financial year till the quarter preceding the date of declaration of the interim dividend.

In the instant case, Sun Light Limited has complied due diligence in declaring interim dividend as the Interim Dividend was declared by Board of Directors at their meeting held on 7th July, 2020 before holding its first Annual General Meeting. Also, the financial statement revealed net profit so the interim dividend can be paid out of profits of the financial year ending 31st March, 2020.

(ii) According to section 8 (1) of the Companies Act, 2013, a company having licence under Section 8 (*Formation of companies with charitable objects, etc.*) is prohibited from paying any dividend to its members. Its profits are intended to be applied only in promoting the objects for which it is formed.

(iii) **Penal consequences**: According to section 127 of the Companies Act, 2013, where a dividend has been declared by a company but has not been paid or the warrant in respect thereof has not been posted within thirty days from the date of declaration to any shareholder entitled to the payment of the dividend, every director of the

company shall, if he is knowingly a party to the default, be punishable with imprisonment which may extend to two years and with fine which shall not be less than one thousand rupees for every day during which such default continues and the company shall be liable to pay simple interest at the rate of eighteen per cent per annum during the period for which such default continues.

(d) According to section 43 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881, a negotiable instrument made, drawn, accepted, indorsed, or transferred without consideration, or for a consideration which fails, creates no obligation of payment between the parties to the transaction. But if any such party has transferred the instrument with or without endorsement to a holder for consideration, such holder, and every subsequent holder deriving title from him, may recover the amount due on such instrument from the transferor for consideration or any prior party thereto.

In view of the above provisions, A and B have no right to recover the bill amount. But, C, being a holder for consideration and the subsequent party D have right to recover the amount of the bill.

Question 4

(a) Viki Limited engaged in the business of consumer durables. It is managed by a team of professional managers. The Company has not made default in payment of statutory dues, and repayment of debenture/ Institutional loan with interest. The Company advertised a circular in the newspaper dated 20th September 2020 inviting the deposits from the members and public for the first time. The latest audited financial statement of the Company revealed the following data, as on 31.3.2020:

Paid up share capital	*₹ 70 Crores*
Securities Premium	*₹ 20 Crores*
Free Reserves	*₹ 20 Crores*
Long-term borrowings	*₹ 50 Crores*

The Company in the advertisement invited public deposit for a period of 4 Months Plan A and Plan B for 36 Months.

(i) Explain the term 'eligible company' and calculate the Maximum amount of Deposit that can be accepted from Public (Non-Member) for Plan A and Plan B based on latest audited Financial Statement under the provisions of the Companies Act, 2013.

(ii) Calculate the maximum amount of deposit Viki Limited can accept from the public under Plan B in case it is a wholly owned Government Company under the provisions of the said Act. ***(6 Marks)***

(b) AB Limited is a public company having its registered office in Coimbatore. The company has incurred a net loss of ₹ 20 lakhs in the Financial Year (FY) 2019-20. The Board of Directors (BOD) wants to declare dividend for the FY 2019-20. The balances of the company as per the latest audited financial statements are as follows:

1. Equity Share Capital (₹ 10 each) - 100 lakhs

2. General Reserve - 150 lakhs

3. Debenture redemption Reserve - 50 lakhs

The company has not declared any dividend in the preceding three financial years. Decide whether AB Limited is allowed to declare dividend or not for the FY 2019-20 by explaining the relevant provisions of the Companies Act in this regard.

If allowed to declare dividend then state the maximum amount of dividend that can be paid by AB Limited as per the Section 123 of Companies Act 2013. ***(2 + 2 = 4 Marks)***

(c) Define the following terms with reference to the General Clauses Act, 1897:

(i) Affidavit

(ii) Good Faith ***(2 + 2 = 4 Marks)***

(d) Write a short note on "Proviso" with reference to the rules of interpretation. ***(3 Marks)***

Answer

(a) (i) According to Rule 2(1)(e) of the Companies (Acceptance of Deposits) Rules, 2014 "eligible company" means a public company as referred to in sub-section (1) of section 76 of the Companies Act, 2013, having a net worth of not less than one hundred crore rupees or a turnover of not less than five hundred crore rupees and which has obtained the prior consent of the company in general meeting by means of a special resolution and also filed the said resolution with the Registrar of Companies before making any invitation to the Public for acceptance of deposits.

Provided that an eligible company, which is accepting deposits within the limits specified under clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 180, may accept deposits by means of an ordinary resolution.

Net worth of Viki Limited as per section 2(57) of the Companies Act, 2013 can be calculated as follows:

Paid up share capital: ₹ 70 crores

Free Reserves: ₹ 20 crores

Securities premium: ₹ 20 crores

Total: ₹ 110 crores

Hence, Viki Limited is an eligible company, since its Net worth is in excess of ₹ 100 crores.

Tenure for which Deposits can be Accepted: As per Rule 3(1)(a) of the Companies (Acceptance of Deposits) Rules, 2014, a company is not permitted to accept or renew deposits (whether secured or unsecured) which is repayable on demand or in less than six months. Further, the maximum period of acceptance of deposit cannot exceed thirty six months.

Exception to the rule of tenure of six months: As per the proviso to the above rule, for the purpose of meeting any of its short-term requirements of funds, a company may accept or renew deposits for repayment earlier than six months subject to the condition that such deposits shall not exceed ten per cent. of the aggregate of the paid-up share capital, free reserves and securities premium account of the company.

As per Rule 3(1)(b) of the Companies (Acceptance of Deposits) Rules, 2014, such deposits are repayable not earlier than three months from the date of such deposits or renewal thereof.

Maximum Amount of Deposits: As per Rule 3(4)(b) of the Companies (Acceptance of Deposits) Rules, 2014, an eligible company is permitted to accept or renew deposits from persons other than its members. As per the law the amount of such deposit together with the amount of outstanding deposits (excluding deposits from members) on the date of acceptance or renewal can be maximum twenty-five per cent. of the aggregate of its paid-up share capital, free reserves and securities premium account of the company.

For Plan A: Since the maximum period of deposits is 4 months, the maximum amount of deposits shall not exceed ten per cent. of the aggregate of the paid-up share capital, free reserves and securities premium account of the company.

Maximum amount of deposits: 10% of 110 crores (70 + 20 + 20) = 11 crores.

For Plan B: Maximum amount of deposits: 25% of 110 crores (70 + 20 + 20) -11 crores (outstanding deposit under plan A) = 16.5 crores.

(ii) In terms of Rule 3(5) of the Companies (Acceptance of Deposits) Rules, 2014, in case Viki Limited is a wholly owned Government Company, so it can accept deposit together with the amount of other outstanding deposits as on the date of acceptance or renewal maximum up to thirty-five per cent. of the aggregate of its paid-up share capital, free reserves and securities premium account.

For Plan B: Maximum amount of deposits: 35% of 110 crores (70 + 20 + 20) = 38.5 crores.

(b) In the given case, AB Limited has not made adequate profits during the current year ending on 31st March, 2020, but it still wants to declare dividend. Therefore, Rule 3 of the Companies (Declaration and Payment of Dividend) Rules, 2014 will be applied.

According to the said rule, the required conditions are:

Condition I: The rate of dividend declared shall not exceed the average of the rates at which dividend was declared by the company in the three years immediately preceding that year. Since the company has not declared any dividend in the preceding three financial years, hence condition I is not applicable in this case.

Condition II: The total amount to be drawn from such accumulated profits shall not exceed 10% of its paid-up share capital and free reserves as appearing in the latest audited financial statement.

Paid-up capital+Free reserves = ₹ (100+150) Lakhs (General reserves are free reserves)

= ₹ 250 Lakhs

10% thereof = ₹ 25 Lakhs

Condition III: The amount so drawn shall first be utilized to set off the losses incurred in the financial year in which dividend is declared before any dividend in respect of equity shares is declared.

The amount drawn as stated above	=	₹ 25 Lakhs
Less: loss for the financial year 2019-2020	=	₹ 20 Lakhs
Amount available	=	₹ 5 Lakhs

Hence, the quantum of dividend is further restricted to ₹ 5 lakhs.

Condition IV: The balance of reserves after such withdrawal shall not fall below 15% of its paid up share capital as appearing in the latest audited financial statement.

Accumulated Reserves	₹ 150 Lakhs
Proposed withdrawal declaration of dividend	₹ 5 Lakhs
Balance of Reserves	₹ 145 Lakhs

This is more than 15% of paid-up capital (i.e. 15% of ₹ 100 Lakhs) i.e. ₹ 15 lakhs.

Thus, the company can declare a dividend of ₹ 5 lakhs.

Hence, by following above provisions, AB Limited is allowed to declare dividend for the FY 2019-2020 and the maximum amount of dividend that can be paid is ₹ 5 Lakhs.

(c) (i) **"Affidavit"** [Section 3(3) of the General Clauses Act, 1897]: 'Affidavit' shall include affirmation and declaration in the case of persons by law allowed to affirm or declare instead of swearing.

The above definition is inclusive in nature. It states that Affidavit shall include affirmation and declarations. This definition does not define affidavit. However, we can understand this term in general parlance. Affidavit is a written statement confirmed by oath or affirmation for use as evidence in Court or before any

authority.

(ii) **"Good Faith"** [Section 3(22) of the General Clauses Act, 1897]: A thing shall be deemed to be done in "good faith" where it is in fact done honestly, whether it is done negligently or not.

The question of good faith under the General Clauses Act is one of fact. It is to be determined with reference to the circumstances of each case. Thus, anything done with due care and attention, which is not malafide, whether it is done negligently or not is presumed to have been done in good faith.

(d) Proviso: The normal function of a proviso is to except something out of the enactment or to qualify something stated in the enactment which would be within its purview if the proviso were not there. The effect of the proviso is to qualify the preceding enactment which is expressed in terms which are too general. As a general rule, a proviso is added to an enactment to qualify or create an exception to what is in the enactment. Ordinarily a proviso is not interpreted as stating a general rule.

It is a cardinal rule of interpretation that a proviso to a particular provision of a statute only embraces the field which is covered by the main provision. It carves out an exception to the main provision to which it has been enacted as a proviso and to no other. *(Ram Narain Sons Ltd. Vs. Assistant Commissioner of Sales Tax, AIR SC 765).*

Question 5

(a) (i) ABC Limited is a public company incorporated in New Delhi. The Board of Directors (BOD) of the company wants to bring a public issue of 100000 equity shares of ₹ 10 each. The BOD has appointed an underwriter for this issue for ensuring the minimum subscription of the issue. The underwriter advised the BOD that due to current economic situation of the Country it would be better if the company offers these shares at a discount of ₹ 1 per share to ensure full subscription of this public issue. The Board of Directors agreed to the suggestion of underwriter and offered the shares at a discount of ₹ 1 per share. The issue was fully subscribed and the shares were allotted to the applicants in due course.

Decide whether the issue of shares as mentioned above is valid or not as per Section 53 of Companies Act 2013. What would be your answer in the above case if the shares are issued to employees as Sweat equity shares? ***(2 + 1 = 3 Marks)***

(ii) Ram draws a cheque of ₹ 1 lakh. It was a bearer cheque. Ram kept the cheque with himself. After some time, Ram gives this cheque to Shyam as a gift on his birthday. Decide whether Shyam is having a valid title over the cheque and whether Shyam is a holder in due course or not in relation to this cheque as per the Section 9 of the Negotiable Instruments Act 1881. ***(3 Marks)***

OR

(a) (i) Are the following instruments signed by Mr. Honest is valid promissory Notes? Give the reasons.

(a) I promise to pay D's son ₹ 10000 for value received (D has two sons)

(b) I promise to pay ₹ 5000/- on demand at my convenience

(ii) Who is the competent authority to issue a promissory note 'payable to bearer'?

Your answers shall be in accordance with the provisions of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881. ***(3 Marks)***

(iii) The Articles of Association of a Company may contain provisions for entrenchment under Section 5 of the Companies Act, 2013. What is meant by entrenchment provisions in this context? Also State the relevant provisions of the said Act dealing with entrenchment provisions. ***(3 Marks)***

(b) Rose (Private) Limited on 3rd April 2019 obtained ₹ 30 lakhs working capital loan by offering its Stock and Accounts Receivables as security and ₹ 5 Lakhs adhoc overdraft on the personal guarantee of a Director of Rose (Private) Limited, from a financial institution.

(i) Is it required to create charge for working capital loan and adhoc overdraft in accordance with the provisions of the Companies Act, 2013?

(ii) State the provisions relating to extension of time and procedure for registration of charges in case the above charge was not registered within 30 days of its creation.

(4 Marks)

(c) Distinguish between a contract of Indemnity and a contract of Guarantee as per The Indian Contract Act, 1872. ***(4 Marks)***

(d) "Associate words to be understood in common sense manner." Explain this statement with reference to rules of interpretation of statutes. ***(3 Marks)***

Answer

(a) (i) As per the provisions of sub-section (1) of section 53 read with section 54 of the Companies Act, 2013, a company shall not issue shares at a discount, except in the case of an issue of sweat equity shares. As per the provisions of sub-section (2) of section 53 of the Companies Act, 2013, any share issued by a company at a discount shall be void.

In terms of the above provisions, issue of shares by ABC Limited at a discount of ₹ 1 per share is not valid.

In case the above shares have been issued to employees as Sweat equity shares, then the issue of shares at discount is valid. [Section 54(1) of the Companies Act, 2013.

(ii) "**Holder in due course"** [Section 9 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881]— "Holder in due course" means—

- any person
- who for consideration
- became the possessor of a promissory note, bill of exchange or cheque (if payable to bearer), or the payee or endorsee thereof, (if payable to order),
- before the amount mentioned in it became payable, and
- without having sufficient cause to believe that any defect existed in the title of the person from whom he derived his title.

In the instant case, Ram draws a cheque for ₹ 1 lakh and hands it over to Shyam by way of gift. Here, Shyam's title is good and bonafide. As a holder he is entitled to receive ₹ 1 lakh from the bank on whom the cheque is drawn. However, Shyam is not a holder in due course as he does not get the cheque for value and consideration.

OR

(a) (i) **Promissory Note**: As per the provisions of Section 4 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881, a promissory note is an instrument in writing (not being a bank-note or a currency note) containing an unconditional undertaking, signed by the maker, to pay a certain sum of money to or to the order of a certain person, or to the bearer of the instruments.

(a) This is not a valid promissory note as D has two sons and it is not specified in the promissory note that which son of D is the payee.

(b) This is not a valid promissory note as details of the payee are not mentioned in it and it is not an unconditional undertaking.

(ii) A promissory note cannot be made payable to the bearer (Section 31 of Reserve Bank of India Act, 1934). Only the Reserve Bank or the Central Government can make or issue a promissory note 'payable to bearer'.

(iii) **Entrenchment**: Usually an article of association may be altered by passing special resolution but entrenchment makes it more difficult to change it. So entrenchment means making something more protective.

Section 5 of the Companies Act, 2013 describes the provisions relating to entrenchment.

Articles may contain provisions for entrenchment [Section 5(3)]: The articles may contain provisions for entrenchment to the effect that specified provisions of the articles may be altered only if conditions or procedures as that are more restrictive than those applicable in the case of a special resolution, are met or complied with.

Manner of inclusion of the entrenchment provision [Section 5(4)]: The provisions for entrenchment shall only be made either on formation of a company, or by an amendment in the articles agreed to by all the members of the company in the case of a private company and by a special resolution in the case of a public company.

Notice to the registrar of the entrenchment provision [Section 5(5)]: Where the articles contain provisions for entrenchment, whether made on formation or by amendment, the company shall give notice to the Registrar of such provisions in such form and manner as may be prescribed.

(b) As per the provisions of Section 2(16) of the Companies Act, 2013, "charge" means an interest or lien created on the property or assets of a company or any of its undertakings or both as security and includes mortgage.

(i) Whenever a company obtains working capital loans from financial institutions by offering stock and Accounts Receivables as security, Rose (Private) Limited is required to create a charge on such property or assets in favour of the lender. Hence, for ₹ 30 Lakhs working capital loan, it is required to create a charge on it.

Rose (Private) Limited is not required to create a charge for ₹ 5 Lakh adhoc overdraft on the personal guarantee of a director. Since charge is always created on the property or assets of a company and personal guarantee of director is not a property or asset of company.

(ii) As per the provisions of Section 77 of the Companies Act, 2013, in case the above charge was not registered within 30 days of creation of the charge, the Registrar may, on an application by the company, allow such registration to be made within a period of 60 days of such creation (i.e. another 30 days are granted after the expiry of original 30 days), on payment of additional fees as prescribed.

Procedure for Extension of Time Limit: For seeking extension of time, the company is required to make an application to the Registrar in the prescribed form. It should be supported by a declaration from the company signed by its company secretary or a director that such belated filing shall not adversely affect the rights of any other intervening creditors of the company.

The application so made must satisfy the Registrar that the company had sufficient cause for not filing the particulars and the instrument of charge, if any, within the original period of thirty days. Only then he will allow registration of charge within the extended period. Further, requisite additional fee or *advalorem fee*, as applicable, must also be paid.

(c)

Point of distinction	Contract of Indemnity	Contract of Guarantee
Number of party/ Parties to the contract	there are only two parties namely the indemnifier [promisor] and the	there are three parties creditor, principal debtor and surety.

	indemnified [promisee]	
Nature of liability	The liability of the indemnifier is primary and unconditional.	The liability of the surety is secondary and conditional as the primary liability is that of the principal debtor.
Time of liability	The liability of the indemnifier arises only on the happening of a contingency.	The liability arises only on the non performance of an existing promise or non-payment of an existing debt.
Time to act	The indemnifier need not act at the request of indemnity holder	The surety acts at the request of principal debtor.
Right to sue third party	indemnifier cannot sue a third party for loss in his own name as there is no privity of contract. Such a right would arise only if there is an assignment in his favour.	surety can proceed against principal debtor in his own right because he gets all the right of a creditor after discharging the debts.
Purpose	Reimbursement of loss	For the security of the creditor
Competency to contract	All parties must be competent to contract	In the case of a contract of guarantee, where a minor is a principal debtor, the contract is still valid.

(d) Associated Words to be Understood in Common Sense Manner: When two words or expressions are coupled together one of which generally excludes the other, obviously the more general term is used in a meaning excluding the specific one. On the other hand, there is the concept of *'Noscitur A Sociis'* ('it is known by its associates'), that is to say 'the meaning of a word is to be judged by the company it keeps'. When two or more words which are capable of analogous (similar or parallel) meaning are coupled together, they are to be understood in their cognate sense (i.e. akin in origin, nature or quality). They take, as it were, their colour from each other, i.e., the more general is restricted to a sense analogous to the less general. It is a rule wider than the rule of *ejusdem generis*, rather ejusdem generis is only an application of the *noscitur a sociis*. It must be borne in mind that *nocitur a sociis*, is merely a rule of construction and it cannot prevail in cases where it is clear that the wider words have been deliberately used in order to make the scope of the defined word correspondingly wider.